The Stealth Campaign to Use U.S. Law to Support Settlements: In Congress

Beginning in 2014, opponents of boycotts, divestment, and sanctions (BDS) against Israel began promoting legislation in various U.S. states denouncing the BDS movement. In 2015, these efforts shifted/expanded to efforts in the U.S. Congress (as well as at the state level) to hijack concerns about BDS against Israel in order to pass legislation giving unprecedented legitimacy and recognition to Israeli settlements by, in effect, making it U.S. policy to treat them as part of Israel. These efforts continue through the present day. APN rejects this cynical effort to exploit concerns about BDS in order to erase the distinction between Israel and the occupied territories.

This table – which will be updated regularly – is intended to help people understand and follow what is happening in Congress. (Last updated: March 24, 2017)

To follow what is happening at the state level, see our post and table here: http://peacenow.org/entry.php?id=16682.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill/Resolution</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>HR 1697 and S. 720: The Israel Anti-Boycott Act.</strong></td>
<td>Introduced 3/23/17 in the House by Roskam (R-IL) and Vargas (D-CA) (along with Zeldin, R-NY, and Sherman, D-CA), and in the Senate by Cardin (D-MD) and Portmen (R-OH)</td>
<td>These bills effectively erase the Green Line in U.S. law. They: declare efforts to promote differentiation between Israel and settlements “reminiscent” of the Arab League Boycott of Israel (which U.S. law bars US companies from participating in); include a Statement of Policy that when it comes to UN policies explicitly targeting settlements and the occupied territories, Congress, “views such policies as actions to boycott, divest from, or sanction Israel”; amend core U.S. law regarding foreign boycotts to make it illegal for U.S. companies to boycott or otherwise discriminate against settlements based on calls by the UN or the EU (these two sources are explicitly named in the legislation); amend U.S. law regarding the Export-Import Bank, to add differentiation between Israel and settlements to the list of things preclude Ex-Im loans; and specifically state: “The term ‘actions to boycott, divest from, or sanction Israel’ has the meaning given that term in section 102(b)(20)(B) of the Bi-partisan Congressional Trade Priorities and Accountability Act of 2015 (19 U.S.C. 4201(b)(20)(B))” – i.e., that settlements are considered part of Israel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>S. 170: A bill to provide for nonpreemption of measures by State and local governments to divest from entities that engage in commerce-related or investment-related boycott, divestment, or sanctions activities targeting Israel, and</strong></td>
<td>Introduced 1/17 by Rubio (R-FL) and 18 cosponsors (9 from each party)</td>
<td>This bill appears to be a new version for the new Congress of S. 2531 (in the House HR 4514).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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S 3465 – “A bill to amend the Export Administration Act of 1979 to include in the prohibitions on boycotts against allies of the United States boycotts fostered by international governmental organizations against Israel and to direct the Export-Import Bank of the United States to oppose boycotts against Israel, and for other purposes.” (Introduced 9/29/16 by Cardin (D-MD) and Portman (R-OH).)  
Having virtually nothing to do with BDS against Israel but entirely about protecting and legitimizing Israeli settlements. This bill seeks to do so by fundamentally changing U.S. law to make it illegal (and punishable with large fines) for Americans to take part in or comply with any boycott of settlements linked to action by the UN or any other body (including, for example, the EU). The bill in effect seeks to treat boycotts of settlements backed by the UN or the EU the same way US law treats the Arab League boycott of Israel.

S 3117 – FY17 ForOps  
Introduced 6/29/16  
Placed on the Senate Calendar  
On 6/29, the full Senate Appropriations Committee marked up the FY17 ForOps bill, adopted an amendment from Kirk (R-IL) adding to the bill his anti-BDS/pro-settlements legislation (S 2531 - giving a green light for states to adopt unconstitutional laws).

H. Con. Res. 128 & S. Con. Res. 35 - Expressing the sense of Congress that the United States should continue to exercise its veto in the United Nations Security Council on resolutions regarding the Israeli-Palestinian peace process  
Introduced in the House 4/15/16 and in the Senate 4/19/16  
Includes (among other things) a Sense of Congress expressing: “the United States Government should continue to uphold its practice of vetoing any United Nations Security Council resolution that inserts the Council into the peace process, unilaterally recognizes a Palestinian state, makes declarations concerning Israeli-controlled territories, or dictates terms and a timeline for the Israeli-Palestinian peace process.”

HR 4555 - Non-Discrimination of Israel in Labeling Act  
Introduced 2/12/16, Referred to Ways & Means Committee’s Subcommittee on Trade  
Seeks to retroactively make it U.S. policy that settlement goods are labeled as “made in Israel.”

S. 2531 - Combating BDS Act of 2016  
Introduced 2/10/16, Referred to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.  
Giving the green light and federal cover to state-level legislation targeting BDS (and defining BDS to include activities targeting occupied territories).

HR 4514 - Combating BDS Act of 2016  
Introduced 2/10/16  
Referred to the House Committee on Financial Services  
Giving the green light and federal cover to state-level legislation targeting BDS (and defining BDS to include activities targeting occupied territories).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill/Resolution</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HR 4503: A bill to allow for additional markings, including the word ‘Israel’ to be used for country of origin marking requirements for goods made in the geographical areas known as the West Bank and Gaza Strip.</td>
<td>Introduced 2/9/16 Referred to the Committee on Ways and Means</td>
<td>Seeks to make it U.S. policy that the settlements are treated as part of Israel for the purposes of export regulations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. 2474 - A bill to allow for additional markings, including the words ‘Israel’ and ‘Product in Israel,’ to be used for country of origin marking requirements for goods made in the geographical areas known as the West Bank and Gaza Strip.</td>
<td>Introduced 2/1/16 Referred to the Committee on Finance.</td>
<td>Seeks to make it U.S. policy that the settlements are treated as part of Israel for the purposes of export regulations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Res. 346 - expressing opposition to the European Commission interpretive notice regarding labeling Israeli products and goods manufactured in the West Bank and other areas, as such actions undermine the Israeli-Palestinian peace process</td>
<td>Introduced 1/20/16 Referred to SFRC</td>
<td>Seeks to make it U.S. policy that to oppose the EU treating settlements as different from Israel for the purposes of export regulations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Res. 567 - Expressing opposition to the European Commission interpretive notice regarding labeling Israeli products and goods manufactured in the West Bank and other areas, as such actions undermine efforts to achieve a negotiated Israeli-Palestinian peace process</td>
<td>Introduced 12/16/15 Referred to HFAC’s Subcommittee on Middle East and North Africa</td>
<td>Rejecting the EU treating settlements as different from Israel for the purposes of export regulations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Res. 402 - expressing the sense of the House of Representatives regarding politically motivated acts of boycott, divestment from, and sanctions against Israel, and for other purposes.</td>
<td>Introduced 7/29/15 Referred to HFAC</td>
<td>H. Res. 402 does not conflate boycotts/divestment/sanctions efforts targeting Israel with those targeting the settlements and occupation – no mention of Israel and “territories controlled by Israel” or similar formulas of conflation. Nor does H. Res. 402 inaccurately accuse the EU or its member governments of engaging in BDS against Israel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Res. 318 - Condemning resolutions or policies calling for or instituting a boycott of Israeli academic institutions or scholars by institutions of higher learning and scholarly associations.</td>
<td>Introduced 6/16/15 Referred to the House Committee on Education and the Workforce</td>
<td>Focus solely on anti-Israel BDS, nothing to do with settlements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill/Resolution</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **HR 2645 - To amend title 5, United States Code, to prevent the Thrift Savings Fund from investing in any company that boycotts Israel.** | Introduced 6/4/15  
Referred to the House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform | Explicitly conflates Israel and settlements, by defining “boycotting Israel” as meaning “engaging in actions that are politically motivated and are intended to penalize, inflict economic harm on, or otherwise limit commercial relations with the State of Israel or companies based in the State of Israel or in territories controlled by the State of Israel.” |
| **S. 1269/HR 1907/HR 644 - the Trade Facilitation and Trade Enforcement Act of 2015 bill (aka the Customs bill)** | Introduced late Spring 2015  
| **S. 995/HR 1890/HR 1314/HR 2146 - The Trade Promotion Authority bill (TPA) bill** | Introduced Spring 2015  
Signed into law as **HR 2146 on 6/29/15.** Obama Admin made [statement](https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/presidential-signingstatement-on-trade-promotion-authority-and-customs-enforcement-bill/) rejecting conflation. | Pro-settlements provision similar to HR 825/S 619 included in final bill. See lengthy history in Legislative Round-Ups. Strongly lobbied by AIPAC. |
| **S. 619 - United States-Israel Trade Enhancement Act of 2015** | Introduced 3/2/15 by Cardin (D-MD) and Portman (R-OH)  
[in part folded into TPA & Customs bills] | Senate version of HR 825 (not identical). Primary purpose is to discourage economic pressure targeting settlements and the occupation (and lay groundwork for imposing sanctions against those who engage in such actions. Strongly lobbied by AIPAC (Cardin announced plans to introduce the bill from dais during the 2015 AIPAC policy conference). |
| **HR 825 - United States-Israel Trade and Commercial Enhancement Act** | Introduced 2/10/15 by Roskam (R-IL) and Vargas (D-CA)  
[in part folded into TPA & Customs bills] | Primary purpose is to discourage economic pressure targeting settlements and the occupation (and lay groundwork for imposing sanctions against those who engage in such actions. Strongly lobbied by AIPAC. |
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